

SENATOR HALL: The current system is, if it were uniform across, in terms of its valuation, across the state, Ernie, I don't think you would find me probably supporting the bill that is in front of us. The problem I have is that because I happen to live in a high levy county for purposes of personal property on my automobile,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And purchasing Ak-Sar-Ben.

SENATOR HALL: ...and purchasing Ak-Sar-Ben, correct, I know that's going to...they are going to add that onto my automobile tax, but...and if it comes at the rate of personal property as we have it in this fee schedule, I won't mind paying it because my car is 15-years old, but the issue is one of uniformity as it relates to use of the road across the state. If you are going to argue that the use of the road comes into play under the personal property system as we have it today,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...why shouldn't there be uniformity with regard to the car and its value. It isn't worth less if it sits in Box Butte County.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then let me ask you this...

SENATOR HALL: It is worth the same amount. It may even be worth more than one that sits in Douglas.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I only have about 48 seconds left. Senator Hall, why not charge people a lower rate who live in a part of the state where the roads are not that good? Since not as much is spent on the roads, they are not getting as much value, why shouldn't they pay less based on the condition of the roads?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Chambers, if that were the case, I think both you and I would pay less, especially if it was done on a per capita basis.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But we don't do that.

SENATOR HALL: No, we don't. We're paying more.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What I am saying, I don't think there is any